## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI EASTERN DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		)	
	Plaintiff,	)	14-CR-175-AGF-DDN
V.		)	
MARK PALMER et al.,		)	
	Defendants.	)	

## CERTAIN DEFENDANTS' JOINT MOTION TO AMEND THE ORDER CONCERNING PRETRIAL MOTIONS

Come now certain of the Defendants, by and through their respective and undersigned counsel, and for this Joint Motion to Amend the Order Concerning Pretrial Motions (Doc. 81) state:

- 1. When the parties originally appeared in Court, the Government indicated that discovery consisted of approximately 1,000,000 pages.
- 2. The Government indicated that the discovery would be provided at regular intervals.
- 3. To date approximately 15,900 pages of discovery have been provided to Defendants on 3 discs, the last of which was produced to Defendants on or about August 15, 2014.
- 4. On or about October 14, 2014, Attorneys in each of the above cases wrote to Assistant U.S. Attorney Jim Delworth requesting an update on the timing of the Government providing the balance of the outstanding discovery. *See*, *e.g*. Exhibit A.
  - 5. Mr. Delworth responded to these letters on October 16, 2014. (Exhibit B)

- 6. It is obvious from Mr. Delworth's response that Defendants will not be provided with all of the discovery the Government plans to voluntarily disclose in these cases in advance of the current pretrial motion deadline on November 17, 2014. *See* Ex. B at pp. 1-2.
- 7. More troubling is the fact that unlike the orderly "roll out" of discovery anticipated by the Government during the initial appearances and arraignments of defendants, and despite defendants' counsel having requested a date certain by which they may expect to receive all of the discovery materials the Government plans to disclose, they have not been provided with an end date by which they will be provided the remaining discovery, which based on the Government's original estimates, could consist of as much as 984,000 pages.
- 8. Defendants will require at least 6 months from the date that all discovery has been received in which to review the discovery, discuss same with their clients and to file pre-trial motions.
- 9. Defendants therefore move for the Court to enter its Order vacating the previous pretrial motion schedule (Doc. 81) and setting a new one. Defendants request that the new pretrial order set a discovery cut-off date of December 1, 2014 by which the Government is obligated to provide Defendants all of the discovery in this case, that Defendants be permitted to propound any additional discovery requests and requests for pretrial disclosure of information or evidence by March 30, 2015, with the Government to respond by April 24, 2014, and that Defendants be granted until June 19, 2015 in which to file pre-trial motions. Defendants further request that the amended order concerning pretrial motions include a provision for defendants to file replies to any response the Government files in response to defendants' pretrial motions.
- 10. Defendants do not welcome such a continuance of these cases, one necessitated by a delay in their receipt of the disclosures by the Government that are required in order for them to adequately evaluate and prepare pretrial motions. To deprive them of such discovery

and the opportunity to file motions based thereon would be manifestly unjust and the failure to

grant a continuance in the proceeding to permit such review and preparation would be likely to

make a continuation of such proceeding impossible, or result in a miscarriage of justice.

11. The ends of justice are served by the Court granting the instant motion, and the

right of defendants to receive and review discovery before preparing pretrial motions outweighs

the best interests of the public and the defendants in a speedy trial. Moreover, this case is

somewhat unusual in that it presents novel questions law regarding controlled substances and

analogs. It is therefore unreasonable to expect Defendants' counsel to make adequate for pretrial

proceedings without complete discovery first being provided by the Government, with such

discovery to include, but certainly not be limited to the results of all laboratory testing conducted

on evidence the Government seized in these cases.

12. Therefore, Defendants respectfully submit that the period of the delay resulting

from the continuance sought herein shall be excludable under the Speedy Trial Act. See 18

U.S.C. 3161(h)(7)(A) & (B).

WHEREFORE, the undersigned Defendants request that this Court enter its Order

granting this motion, amending the order concerning pretrial motions, and granting all such other

and further relief as may be just under the circumstances.

Respectfully submitted,

THE LAW OFFICE OF JASON A. KORNER

**BOROWIAK LAW FIRM** 

/s/ Jason A. Korner

Jason A. Korner

7911 Forsyth Blvd. Suite 300

Clayton, Missouri 63105

Telephone: (314) 409-2659

Fax: (314) 863-5335

rax. (314) 603-3333

Email: jasonkorner@kornerlaw.com

Fax: (314) 269-1042

Zachary J. Borowiak

Email: borowiaklaw@gmail.com

225 South Meramec, Suite 301

St. Louis, Missouri 63105

Telephone: (314) 537-2351

Attorney for Defendant Samuel Leinicke

Attorney for Defendant Robert Wolfe

/s/ Zachary J. Borowiak (with permission)

Case: 4:14-cr-00175-AGF Doc. #: 107 Filed: 10/23/14 Page: 4 of 5 PageID #: 260

## THE LAW OFFICES OF SHELBY M. COWLEY LUCCO, BROWN, THRELKELD & DAWSON

/s/ Shelby M. Cowley (with permission)

Shelby M. Cowley 1717 Park Avenue St. Louis, MO 63104 Telephone: (314) 721-1024

Fax: (314) 446-4700

Email: shelby@cowlevlaw.net

/s/ J. William Lucco (with permission)

J. William Lucco

Christopher P. Threlkeld 224 St. Louis Street

Edwardsville, Illinois 62025 Telephone: (618) 656-2321

Fax: (618) 656-2363

Patrick Kilgore

7911 Forsyth Boulevard

Clayton, Missouri 63105

Fax: (314) 863-5335

Telephone: (314) 753-0096

Email: patrick@patrickkilgorelaw.com

Email: blucco@lbtdlaw.com cthrelkeld@lbtdlaw.com

Attorney for Defendant Mark Palmer Attorneys for Defendant Charles Wolfe

DOWD BENNETT LLP KILGORE LAW OFFICES

/s/ James E. Crowe, III (with permission) /s/ Patrick Kilgore (with permission)

James E. Crowe, III

7733 Forsyth Boulevard, Suite 1900

Clayton, Missouri 63105 Telephone: (314) 889-7300

Fax: (314) 863-2111

Email: jcrowe@dowdbennett.com

Attorney for Defendant Joseph Gabrick Attorney for Defendant Anthony Palmer

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on October 23, 2014, a copy of the foregoing *Certain Defendants' Joint Motion to Amend the Order Concerning Pretrial Motions* was filed electronically with the Court's CM/ECF system and that by operation of the Court's electronic filing system all counsel of record send notice of such filing.

/s/ Jason A. Korner